| 1  | Ada K. Wong, WSBA #45936  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | Rolf Gardner Toren, WSBA #58597<br>AKW LAW, P.C.<br>12055 15th Ave NE, Suite 200<br>Seattle, WA 98125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | Tel.: (206) 259-1259<br>Attorneys for Plaintiff Carter  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT<br>EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON<br>AT RICHLAND                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | LETICIA CARTER,   | Case No.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | Plaintiff,  | COMPLAINT  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | V.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | BENTON COUNTY, a Washington State municipal corporation; "DOE(S)                                      | [JURY TRIAL DEMANDED]  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 1-100" employees of BENTON COUNTY; and "CORPORATION(S)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | XYZ 1-100,"   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Defendants.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | COMES NOW the Plaintiff Leticia Carter, by and through her counsel of record,                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | in the above-entitled matter complains and alleges as follows:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | I. <u>PARTIES</u>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 1. Plaintiff Leticia Carter ("Plaintiff") is an adult citizen and resident of                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Benton County and resided therein at all times material to this Complaint.                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | Complaint  CARTER V. BENTON COUNTY, ET AL 1   | <b>AKW LAW, P.C.</b> 12055 15 <sup>th</sup> Ave NE, Suite 200 Seattle, WA 98125 Tel. (206) 259-1259 / Fax (855) 925-9529 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- 3. Defendants "Doe(s) 1-100," in doing the things complained of herein, were acting with the course and scope of their employment by Defendant Benton County.
- 4. Defendant "Corporation(s) XYZ 1-100" are corporations whose identities are unknown to Plaintiff at this time but in doing the things complained of herein, were acting within the course and scope of their relationship with Defendant Benton County, and/or were entities involved in causing harm to Plaintiff as alleged herein.
- 5. Each Defendant is, and at all times herein mentioned was, an agent of the other and acting within the course and scope of their employment with Defendant Benton County in causing harm as alleged herein.

# II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 12101 because it is a civil action arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States, namely Americans with Disabilities Act. This Court has supplemental

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367. 1 2 8. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendant Benton 3 County operates their business in Benton County, Washington, and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in Benton County, which is 4 5 within the district of the Eastern District of Washington at Richland. On or about March 25, 2023, Plaintiff filed Charge No. 551-2023-02996 6 9. with the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") alleging 7 discrimination on the basis of disability and retaliation against Defendant. At Plaintiff's 8 9 request, on May 9, 2024, EEOC issued Plaintiff a Notice of Right to Sue, authorizing Plaintiff to "file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) on this charge under federal law in 10 federal or state court" within 90 days. 11 On or about February 15, 2024, Plaintiff filed a Tort Claim Form with 12 10. Benton County. 13 14 III. **FACTS** 15 11. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 10, above. 16 On or about December 5, 2022, Plaintiff Leticia Carter was hired as a 17 12. 18 Permit Technician by Defendant Benton County. Plaintiff's beginning wage with Benton County was \$24.13, plus benefits. 19 13. 20

- 22. On or about January 20, 2023, Plaintiff received an email from the Human Resources department which included a Fitness for Duty Certification form for her to complete and return by February 6, 2023.
- 23. On Tuesday, January 31, 2023, Plaintiff emailed the completed Fitness for Duty Certification form to Human Resources.
- 24. Plaintiff's physician, Monica Mendoza, PA-C, completed the Fitness for Certification form and detailed that Plaintiff may require time, approximately 10 minutes at a time, "to take deep breaths and develop coping skills if she develops anxiety or panic attacks," and noted this as a permanent accommodation request.
- 25. PA-C Mendoza also confirmed Plaintiff's disability of anxiety and panic attacks.
- 26. Human Resources found Plaintiff's accommodation requests to be reasonable.
- 27. That following Monday, February 6, 2023, Plaintiff was waiting for her scheduled meeting with Planning Manager Cooke.
- 28. Planning Manager Cooke and Planning Manager Greg Wendt walked into the conference room where Plaintiff was waiting.
- 29. Planning Manager Cooke began the meeting by telling Plaintiff that it was not working out and that she was not meeting expectations.

| 1  | 41. The Washington Law Against Discrimination (WLAD), Chapter 49.60                    |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | RCW prohibits employers from discriminating against employees on the basis of          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3  | disability.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | 42. Plaintiff is an individual with a disability.                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | 43. Defendants treated Plaintiff differently in terms and conditions of her            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | employment on the basis of the presence of any sensory, mental or physical disability  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | and/or because of Plaintiff's actual or perceived disability in violation of the       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | Washington Law Against Discrimination ("WLAD"), RCW 49.60, et seq.                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | 44. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' deliberate actions, Plaintiff       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | incurred non-economic and economic damages, including but not limited to lost wages,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | future wage loss, other benefits, loss of earning capacity, mental distress, physical  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | damages, emotional distress and pain and suffering in an amount to be proven at trial. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 45. All Defendants are liable for said conduct under both vicarious liability          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | and on agency relationship.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | (ADA – RETALIATION)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 46. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all allegations contained in            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | paragraphs 1 through 45 above.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 47. Defendants retaliated against Plaintiff because of Plaintiff's protected           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|    | AKW LAW, P.C. Complaint 12055 15 <sup>th</sup> Ave NE, Suite 200                       |  |  |  |  |  |

activities in violation of Americans with Disabilities Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 12101 et seq. 1 As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's actions, including 2 48. termination of Plaintiff, Plaintiff incurred non-economic and economic damages, 3 including but not limited to lost wages, future wage loss, loss of health and other 4 benefits, loss of earning capacity, mental distress, physical damages, emotional distress, 5 and pain and suffering, in an amount to be proven at trial. 6 The conduct of Defendants was done in reckless and conscious disregard 7 49. of Plaintiff's statutory rights and in conscious disregard of the pain and suffering it was 8 9 bound to inflict upon Plaintiff for which an award of punitive damages is mandated against each Defendant. 10 All Defendants are liable for said conduct under both vicarious liability 11 50. and on an agency relationship. 12 13 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION** (WLAD – RETALIATION) 14 Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all allegations contained in 15 51. paragraphs 1 through 50, above. 16 Defendants terminated Plaintiff in retaliation for her protected activities in 17 52. violation of the Washington Law Against Discrimination ("WLAD"). 18 As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' deliberate actions, 19 53. 20 Seattle, WA 98125 Carter V. Benton County, et al. - 9

Tel. (206) 259-1259 / Fax (855) 925-9529

including termination of Plaintiff, Plaintiff incurred non-economic and economic damages, including but not limited to lost wages, future wage loss, loss of health and other benefits, loss of earning capacity, mental distress, physical damages, emotional distress, and pain and suffering, in an amount to be proven at trial.

54. All Defendants are liable for said conduct under both vicarious liability and on an agency relationship.

#### **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

# (WRONGFUL DISCHARGE IN VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY)

- 55. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 54, above.
- 56. A common law wrongful discharge in violation of public policy claim may be predicated on an employer's conduct contradicting the clearly articulated public policy against discrimination declared in the WLAD. *See Roberts v. Dudley*, 140 Wn.2d 58, 77 (2000).
- 57. A common law wrongful discharge in violation of public policy claim may be predicated on an employer's conduct contradicting the clearly articulated public policy.
- 58. Defendant Benton County wrongfully discharged and/or constructively discharged Plaintiff for requesting an accommodation in violation of the public policies

declared in the WLAD.

- 59. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's wrongful discharge and/or constructive discharge of Plaintiff, Plaintiff incurred non-economic and economic damages, including but not limited to lost wages, future wage loss, other benefits, loss of earning capacity, mental distress, physical damages, emotional distress, and pain and suffering, in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 60. All Defendants are liable for said conduct under both vicarious liability and on an agency relationship.

#### **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

## (<u>NEGLIGENT SUPERVISION AND HIRING AND FAILURE TO TRAIN</u>)

- 61. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 60, above.
- 62. Defendants were negligent in training, hiring, managing, and/or supervising their managers, supervisors, employees, and/or agents. Defendants were aware or should have been aware of the unlawful actions of their managers, supervisors, employees, and/or agents, and the failures to correct the wrongful conduct.
- 63. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' negligent supervision and hiring and failure to train, Plaintiff incurred non-economic and economic damages, including but not limited to lost wages, future wage loss, other benefits, loss of earning

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

capacity, mental distress, physical damages, emotional distress, and pain and suffering, in an amount to be proven at trial. **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION** (RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR) Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all allegations contained in 64. paragraphs 1 through 63, above. Defendants are liable for all actions of their employees, managers, and 65. supervisors under the Doctrine of Respondeat Superior. The conduct of these employees, managers and agents was implicitly ratified by Defendants, and involved retaliation. Defendants are therefore jointly and severally liable for the conduct of these employees, managers, and agents, and for damages. **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION** (INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS) Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all allegations contained in 66. paragraphs 1 through 65, above. 67. The acts described herein were extreme and outrageous and were done with the intention of inflicting severe emotional distress upon Plaintiff and were done with reckless disregard as to whether such acts would cause Plaintiff severe emotional distress.

- Defendants are strictly liable for the extreme and outrageous acts
- As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' acts, Plaintiff incurred non-economic and economic damages, including but not limited to lost wages, future lost wages, other benefits, loss of earning capacity, mental distress, physical damages, emotional distress, and pain and suffering, in an amount to be proven at trial.
- At no time did Plaintiff consent or acquiesce to any of Defendants' acts

#### NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

### (NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS)

- Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all allegations contained in
- All Defendants, and each of them, knew or reasonably should have known that the conduct described above would and did proximately result in physical and emotional distress to Plaintiff. Defendants are strictly liable for the conduct and acts committed by its employees, managers and agents.
- As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' acts, Plaintiff incurred non-economic and economic damages, including but not limited to lost wages, future wage loss, other benefits, loss earning capacity, mental distress, physical damages,

20

emotional distress, and pain and suffering, in an amount to be proven at trial. 1 At no time did Plaintiff consent or acquiesce to any of Defendants' illegal 2 74. acts and/or illegitimate behaviors described above. 3 V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF 4 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that the Court enter a judgment against Defendants 5 on her behalf for the following: 6 Special damages in an amount according to proof at trial; 7 A. General damages, including but not limited to physical, mental, and 8 В. 9 emotional injury resulting from the acts complained of herein; C. Attorney's fees, prejudgment interest, costs, punitive damages, liquidated 10 damages, and any other appropriate remedy as may be provided by law; 11 Compensation for any tax penalty associated with recovery; and 12 D. For such other and further relief as the court deems just and equitable. 13 E. **DATED** May 10, 2024. 14 15 AKW LAW, P.C. 16 /s/ Ada K. Wong Ada K. Wong, WSBA #45936 /s/ Rolf Gardner Toren 17 Rolf Gardner Toren, WSBA #58597 Attorneys for Plaintiff 18 12055 15th Ave NE, Suite 200 Seattle, WA 98125 19 Tel.: (206) 259-1259 20

Complaint

CARTER V. BENTON COUNTY, ET AL. - 14

**AKW LAW, P.C.**12055 15<sup>th</sup> Ave NE, Suite 200
Seattle, WA 98125
Tel. (206) 259-1259 / Fax (855) 925-9529

|    | Case 4:24-cv-05050-ACE                                | ECF No. 1 | filed 05/10/24 | PageID.15         | Page 15 of 15 |  |  |  |
|----|---|-----------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
|    |   |           |                |                   |               |  |  |  |
|    |   |           |                |                   |               |  |  |  |
| 1  | Fax: (855) 925-9529<br>E-mail: <u>ada@akw-law.com</u> |           |                |                   |               |  |  |  |
| 2  |   |           | E-mail: rolf@  | <u>akw-law.co</u> | <u>m</u>      |  |  |  |
| 3  |   |           |                |                   |               |  |  |  |
| 4  |   |           |                |                   |               |  |  |  |
| 5  |   |           |                |                   |               |  |  |  |
| 6  |   |           |                |                   |               |  |  |  |
| 7  |   |           |                |                   |               |  |  |  |
| 8  |   |           |                |                   |               |  |  |  |
| 9  |   |           |                |                   |               |  |  |  |
| 10 |   |           |                |                   |               |  |  |  |
| 11 |   |           |                |                   |               |  |  |  |
| 12 |   |           |                |                   |               |  |  |  |
| 13 |   |           |                |                   |               |  |  |  |
| 14 |   |           |                |                   |               |  |  |  |
| 15 |   |           |                |                   |               |  |  |  |
| 16 |   |           |                |                   |               |  |  |  |
| 17 |   |           |                |                   |               |  |  |  |
| 18 |   |           |                |                   |               |  |  |  |
| 19 |   |           |                |                   |               |  |  |  |
| 20 |   |           |                |                   |               |  |  |  |
|    | Complaint   |           |                |                   | AKW LAW, P.C. |  |  |  |

Carter V. Benton County, et al. - 15

12055 15<sup>th</sup> Ave NE, Suite 200 Seattle, WA 98125 Tel. (206) 259-1259 / Fax (855) 925-9529